



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,746 06/29/2001		Ronald J. Pettis	7767-173562	4733		
20583	7590	03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
JONES DA	·Υ		HAYES, MICHAEL J			
222 EAST 4	IST ST					
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0017	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3763			
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)							
		09/893,74	16	PETTIS ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Michael J.	Hayes	3763							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/	<u>′06/2005</u> .									
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is n	on-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 65-118 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 97 and 98 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 65-96 and 99-118 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Applicati	ion Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2000 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.											
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB) er No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/04 8/25/03.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement requesting examination of groups IV, V, and VII has been considered. The examiner agrees that these groups (IV, V, and VII) are all directed to a method of administering a substance intradermally. Claims 65-96 and 99-118 are examined on their merits below. Claims 97 and 98 are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 75-79, 84-90, 95, and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross (US Patent No. 5,848,991). Gross discloses a method of delivering various drugs and medicine, including heparin and somatotropin (growth hormone) intradermally (3:40-41) using a single needle with an outlet at a depth of 250 gm - 2mm in a controlled manner based on needle diameter (4:10-35). Gross discloses that the delivery can be pulsatile (i.e., repeated bolus injections). It is inherent that the intradermal injections will be absorbed systemically from the dermis due to the linking of the intradermal compartment to systemic circulation via blood circulation.

Art Unit: 3763

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Claims 65-74, 80, 81, 83, 91, 92, 94, 99-105, 107-115, 117, and 118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GROSS in view of D'Antonio et al. (US Patent No. 6,056,716) or Puri et al. (An Investigation Of The Intradermal Route As An Effective Means Of Immunization For Microparticulate Vaccine Delivery Systems, Vaccine 18 (2000) 2600-2612). Gross discloses the injection of drugs into the intradermal compartment using bolus administration, as discussed above. Though the injections of Gross will inherently be absorbed systemically, Gross is silent on whether the drug delivery will show improved absorption over subcutaneous injections. D'Antonio (3:27-28, 29:3-26) teaches ID injections for growth hormones, vaccines, sera, vitamins, nutrients, "and the like." D'Antonio also discloses that intradermal injection testing shows a better absorption than subcutaneous injection as evidenced by tests showing that ID is more portent than subcutaneous injections. Puri teaches better absorption by ID injections for microparticulate vaccines having better absorption than subcutaneous injections as evidenced by lower required doses when administered ID (See abstract, pg. 2601, 2607-2610). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of D'Antonio and/or Puri in the method of Gross in order to achieve a therapeutic result using less drugs. The cost savings and ability to effectively deliver scarce drugs to a larger number of people would motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Gross with the teachings of D'Antonio and/or Puri.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,746

Art Unit: 3763

The use of nanoparticles are considered as equivalent to the disclosed use of microparticles in the prior art, and obvious to give improved absorption, particularly in consideration that the nanoparticles are even smaller than the microparticles, and it is generally known that absorption improves with smaller size. Additionally, in view of the large number and classes of drugs listed by Gross for delivery by the disclosed method, the use of dopamine receptor agonist would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because it is recognized as another drug with similarities to the prior art disclosed drugs. One of ordinary skill in the art would have the knowledge to apply the disclosed method to new drugs.

Claims 72, 106, 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GROSS in view of D'ANTONIO or PURI as applied to claims 71, 77, 87, 105, or 115 above, and further in view of GANDERTON et al. (US Patent No. 3,8 14,097). Gross discloses the claimed method except for using an array of needles. Ganderton discloses injecting a substance through multiple needles (1:9-40). See fig. 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Ganderton in the method of Gross and D'Antonio or Puri in order to facilitate the distribution of delivered drug to a patient.

Claims 82 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GROSS as applied to claims 77 or 87 above, and further in view of GANDERTON et al. (US Patent No. 3,8 14,097). Gross discloses the claimed method except for using an array of needles. Ganderton discloses injecting a substance through multiple needles (1:9-40). See fig. 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Ganderton in the method of Gross in order to facilitate the distribution of delivered drug to a patient.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,746

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be

reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be

contacted at (703) 308-2698. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mjh

21 March 2005

MICHAEL J. HAYES

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5